



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,435	09/24/2003	Robert H. Kelley-Wickemeyer	03004.8094US	2918
64066 7590 05/10/2011 PERKINS COIE LLP (BOEING) P.O. BOX 1247 PATENT - SEA SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247				
EXAMINER				
SWIATEK, ROBERT P				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3643				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/10/2011		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentprocurement@perkinscoie.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/671,435	KELLEY-WICKEMEYER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rob Swiatek	3643	

All Participants:

(1) Rob Swiatek

(2) Mr. John Wechkin

Date of Interview: 5 May 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Possible 35 USC 102 and 103

Claims discussed:
13, 42, 62, 65

Prior art documents discussed:
Blume (US 2,207,453); Griswold II (US 2,169,416); Whitcomb (NPL reference on IDS filed 19 June 2009)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rob Swiatek/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3643

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that characterizing the trailing edge device in claims 13, 62, 65 as being, inter alia, undeployed would result in allowable claims; moreover, claim 62 will be amended to provide antecedent basis for "the airfoil structure." Claim 42 will be amended to further delineate the relationship between the flap assembly and the at least one wing; however, a consensus regarding the specific terminology needed to place the claim in condition for allowance was not arrived at.